

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/991,539	PITTEL, ARKADY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2624

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(3) _____.

(2) MISHA HILL.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2007

Time: 12:00PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 42 & 53

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6,633,671

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Part

DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Applicant's representative, namely (Mr. Hill) agreed to a suggestion presented by the Examiner to modify claims 1, 42 and 53 by incorporating: 1) the limitation "based on hand motion of a writing instrument" which is used for deriving a handwriting or control information; 2) the limitation "directly" to show that the digital camera is imaging the active motion of the writing instrument; and 3) the limitation "infra-red" into claims 1 and 42.

Con....